

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/938,232	08/23/2001	Bernd Nawracala	20 00 4378	1701	
75	590 01/20/2004		EXAM	INER	
Paul D. Greeley, Esq.			ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A		
Ohlandt, Greele 10th Floor	ey, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L	.P.	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
One Landmark Square			2877		
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			tm				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,w· O				
Office Action Summer	09/938,232	NAWRACALA, BE	RND				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard A Rosenberger	2877					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed  ys will be considered timely in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	Sentember 2003						
	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 12 is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) <u>1 and 10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>2-9, and 11</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>2-9, and 11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received.	tion No	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language p	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119( first sentence of the specification o	(e) (to a provisional r in an Application					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since	a specific CFR 1.78.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:						
,	, , , , ,						

Application/Control Number: 09/938,232 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 is incomplete, and it is unclear what is being claimed. Claim 10 states that the apparatus "has a dual beam configuration", but the scope of this claims is unclear. Independent claim 1 has only one beam claimed, and it is unclear where the second beam is located, how it is related to the rest of the apparatus, whether the second beam also changes position as does the first, etc.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(c) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Wardlaw (US 6,127,184).

Art Unit: 2877

Wardlaw shows, in figure 6, an apparatus with a light source (75)m and a detector (54) for detection reflection of a sample beam from a cell (2) for an absorbance measurement. There is "modulation means" (59) for varying a position of incidence of the sample beam upon a cell having a sample area; when the cell is moves the position is changed.

- 5. The art does not appear to teach or suggest moving the light beam and sample cell relative to each other so that the beam is not incident on the sample area; thus claims 12 is allowable and claim 2 and 3-9 through dependency from claim 2 contain allowable subject matter. The art does not appear to teach or suggest movement of the measuring beam over a flow cell; thus claim 11 contains allowable subject matter. Claims 2-9 and 11 are objected to as being dependent from unallowed claim 1, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of their respective parent claims.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Art Unit: 2877

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The fax number is (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. A. Rosenberger whose telephone number is (703) 308-4804.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

R. A. Rosenberger 9 January 2004

> Richard A. Rosenberger Primary Examiner